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Abstract

Charge Coupled Devices (CCDs) have dominated optical and x-ray astronomy since their inception in 1969. Only recently, through improvements in design and fabrication methods, have imagers that use Complimentary Metal Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) technology gained ground on CCDs in scientific imaging. We are now in the midst of an era where astronomers might begin to design optical telescope cameras that employ CMOS imagers.

The first three chapters of this dissertation are primarily composed of introductory material. In them, we discuss the potential advantages that CMOS imagers offer over CCDs in astronomical applications. We compare the two technologies in terms of the standard metrics used to evaluate and compare scientific imagers: dark current, read noise, linearity, etc. We also discuss novel features of CMOS devices and the benefits they offer to astronomy. In particular, we focus on a specific kind of hybrid CMOS sensor that uses Silicon PIN photodiodes to detect optical light in order to overcome deficiencies of commercial CMOS sensors.

The remaining four chapters focus on a specific type of hybrid CMOS Silicon PIN sensor: the Teledyne Hybrid Visible Silicon PIN Imager (HyViSI). In chapters four and five, results from testing HyViSI detectors in the laboratory and at the Kitt Peak 2.1m telescope are presented. We present our laboratory measurements of the standard detector metrics for a number of HyViSI devices, ranging from 1k×1k to 4k×4k format. We also include a description of the SIDECAR readout circuit that was used to control the detectors. We then show how they performed at the telescope in terms of photometry, astrometry, variability measurement, and telescope focusing and guiding.

Lastly, in the final two chapters we present results on detector artifacts such as pixel crosstalk, electronic crosstalk, and image persistence. One form of pixel crosstalk that has not been discussed elsewhere in the literature, which we refer to as Interpixel Charge Transfer (IPCT), is introduced. This effect has an extremely significant impact on x-ray astronomy. For persistence, a new theory and accompanying simulations are presented to explain latent images in the HyViSI.

In consideration of these artifacts and the overall measured performance, we argue that HyViSI sensors are ready for application in certain regimes of astronomy, such as telescope guiding, measurements of fast planetary transits, and x-ray imaging, but not for others, such as deep field imaging and large focal plane astronomical surveys.
Preface

More than four centuries have passed since Galileo first pointed a telescope at the sky and observed things that no human being had ever laid eyes upon. In that moment, he opened up an entirely new realm for exploration. We could see farther into the depths of space than ever before and find objects that lay hidden to our naked eyes.

When we look back in history, we see many cases like this. They are cases in which a new technology allowed us to venture into uncharted territory. The invention of the frigate allowed humans to cross seas and explore lands new to them, the submarine opened our eyes to the depths of the seas, the microscope made us aware of new micro-worlds.

Since Galileo’s time, our exploration space in astronomy has continued to increase in its vastness. With the invention of photography, we became aware of very dim objects and structures in the cosmos, and a new search was possible. New detectors sensitive to wavelengths of light that we cannot even see revealed a host of new features on the sky. Through the use of this technology, there has been a veritable explosion in the areas in which we can explore.

However, the space we are able to explore in astronomy is still very limited in a fundamental way. We are forced to make theories and postulate about the nature of the universe based solely on the signals we receive here on earth. We make guesses about how massive or hot or distant things are, what composes seemingly empty space, or what causes unfathomably intense bursts of energy in other galaxies purely based upon the light we receive. In essence, we are stuck in exploration space purely because we are stuck here on earth. It is impossible for us to truly grasp the cosmos from this limiting vantage point. It is like trying to explore a forest while tied to a tree.

There is no denying that we have made–and will continue to make–progress in understanding the cosmos while we remain tethered to our home planet. Bigger, better, and newer instruments and technology will most certainly open new doors. But the surprises that await us down the road, when we leave our solar system and journey into interstellar space, seem far more likely to provide the kind of shock and revelation that Galileo brought when he first pointed that telescope at the sky.

Some researchers believe that money spent on space exploration is a waste and others argue that funding for fundamental astronomy is. In the end it boils down to differing opinions; not a logical
argument. Like anything else in this world, a good balance between the two seems the best path to take. After all, we cannot simply venture aimlessly into outer space in search of answers without a destination in mind or without properly preparing ourselves for the exotic and harsh environment it presents. That would be like going to a casino with a few quarters and hoping to win a sports car.
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